Supplementary Table 1. Association between hot flashes and FMD, AC, and CAC, stratified by menopausal status

	FMD	AC	CAC
	b (SE)§	OR (CI)§	OR (CI)§
	N	N	N
Premenopausal	-2.01 (1.48)	2.03 (0.49-8.38)	9.85 (1.58-61.46)*
	N=40	N=42	N=43
Early perimenopausal	-1.83 (0.63)*	1.47 (0.88-2.46)	1.45 (0.84-2.48)
	N=162	N=217	N=217
Late perimenopausal	0.50 (1.28)	1.86 (0.59-5.91)	3.02 (0.90-10.11)†
	N=40	N=49	N=50
Postmenopausal	-0.45 (0.84)	2.32 (1.16-4.65)*	1.11 (0.56-2.22)
	N=86	N=134	N=134
Indeterminate	-0.50 (1.41)	1.18 (0.22- 6.44)	1.22 (0.18-8.35)
	N=24	N=23	N=23

Models adjusted for age, race, and site

†p<0.10

- § Regression coefficient, OR for any vs. no hot flashes
- || Modeled as any vs. none CAC due to small cell sizes

<sup>\*</sup>p<0.05

Supplementary Table 2. Association between hot flashes and FMD, AC, and CAC, stratified by tertile of age

	FMD	AC	CAC
	b (SE)§	OR (CI)§	OR (CI)§
	N	N	N
Age 45-48	-1.87 (0.73)*	1.33 (0.72-2.48)	1.57 (0.80-3.10)
	N=109	N=143	N=143
Age 49-51	-0.23 (0.66)	1.84 (1.01-3.37)*	1.62 (0.87-3.04)
	N=133	N=158	N=158
Age 51-58	-0.86 (0.76)	1.66 (0.93-2.99)†	1.37 (0.77-2.45)
	N=110	N=164	N=164

Models adjusted for age, race, and site

<sup>\*</sup>p<0.05

<sup>†</sup>p<0.10

<sup>§</sup> Regression coefficient, OR for any vs. no hot flashes

Supplementary Table 3. Association between hot flashes and FMD, with and without HT users

		FMD					
	Total sam	ple	No HT users N=307				
	N=352	,					
	beta (SE)*	p	beta (SE)*	p			
Model 1	-1.01 (0.41)	0.01	-0.92 (0.44)	0.04			
Model 2	-1.02 (0.39)	0.01	-0.82 (0.42)	0.05			
Model 3	-0.99 (0.41)	0.02	-0.87 (0.45)	0.05			
Model 4	-0.97 (0.44)	0.03	-0.88 (0.48)	0.07			

<sup>\*</sup> Regression coefficient for any vs. no hot flashes

Model 1: Age, site, race

Model 2: Model 1 covariates plus baseline lumen diameter, BMI, education, DBP, HT use

Model 3: Model 2 covariates plus menopausal status, HDL, LDL, triglycerides, glucose, diabetes history, lipid medication use, smoking status, physical activity

Model 4: Model 3 covariates plus timed blood draw, E2<sub>log</sub>

Note: HT use not included as a covariate in models without HT users

Supplementary Table 4. Hot flashes and odds of aortic and coronary calcification, with and without HT users

		Total sample			Without HT users				
	AC		CAC		AC		CAC		
	N=464	N=464		N=466		N=412		N=414	
	OR (CI)*	p	OR (CI)*	p	OR (CI)*	p	OR (CI)*	p	
Model 1	1.55	0.01	1.48	0.03	1.55	0.02	1.49	0.04	
	(1.10-2.19)		(1.04-2.12)		(1.08-2.24)		(1.01-2.18)		
Model 2	1.53	0.04	1.34	0.17	1.41	0.04	1.32	0.23	
	(1.02-2.29)†		(0.88-2.05)‡		(0.92-2.17)†		(0.84-2.08)‡		
Model 3	1.53	0.04	1.33	0.19	1.41	0.12	1.32	0.24	
	(1.02-2.29)§		(0.87-2.03)		(0.92-2.18)§		(0.83-2.09)		
Model 4	1.63	0.02	1.31	0.24	1.53	0.07	1.29	0.29	
	(1.07-2.49)		(0.84-2.05)		(0.97-2.42)		(0.80-2.09)		

<sup>\*</sup> OR for any vs. no hot flashes

Model 1: Age, site, race

Model 2a: †Model 1 covariates plus BMI, smoking, education, SBP, LDL, HDL, triglycerides, glucose, physical activity, antidepressant use, depressive symptoms, HT use, lipid medication use, menopausal status

Model 2b: #Model 1 covariates plus BMI, education, SBP, LDL, HDL, triglycerides, glucose, diabetes history, antidepressant use, depressive symptoms, HT use, menopausal status

Model 3a: §Model 2a covariates plus diabetes history

Model 3b: | Model 2b covariates plus diabetes history, smoking, physical activity, lipid medication use

Model 4: Model 3 covariates plus E2<sub>log</sub>, blood draw timing

Note: HT use not included as a covariate in models without HT users

Supplementary Table 5. Associations between hot flashes and FMD, AC, and CAC, stratified by race

	FMD	AC	CAC
	b (SE)§	OR (CI)§	OR (CI)§
	N	N	N
Caucasian	-0.89 (0.48)†	1.61 (1.05-2.47)*	1.68 (1.07-2.63)*
	N=232	N=306	N=308
African American	-1.22 (0.76)†	1.52 (0.84-2.75)	1.27 (0.71-2.28)
	N=120	N=159	N=159

Models adjusted for age and site

<sup>\*</sup>p<0.05

<sup>†</sup>p≤0.10

<sup>§</sup> Regression coefficient, OR for any vs. no hot flashes